
SYNTROPIO AI COWORKER

Design & Brand Systems

The design decisions nobody wrote down — found,
agreed, and made easy to follow

Everyone knows how it should look. No two people make the same thing.

Most organizations have more design decisions on record than they think — scattered across a manual, a template folder, a style sheet, and whatever the most design-literate person has quietly been doing. What is missing is rarely taste. It is the middle layer: the decisions written down, agreed, and reachable by the person making the next document.

The brand manual exists. Nobody opens it, and nothing made last quarter follows it.

Two sources give two different accent colors, and whichever was seen last wins.

Every document starts from the last one somebody liked.

Nobody can say which font the organization is licensed to embed.

WHAT THIS WORK SHOULD DELIVER

A canon you can point at

The decisions written down, versioned and binding until changed — not a mood board.

Templates that make it easy

The right look becomes the easy look — because the master already made it so.

An accessibility floor that holds

Contrast, size, structure and alt text as properties of the artifact — checked, not asserted.

Nothing shipped on unknown rights

Every font, image and generated asset carries a rights basis — recorded before use.

From a folder of files nobody agrees on — to decisions about how you look, written down, followed, and worth following.

Five practical capabilities, grounded in the discipline model.

#	CAPABILITY	WHAT IT PRODUCES
1	Canon discovery	What governs, found and read — then the honest note: what exists, what contradicts itself, what is not followed, and what has never been decided.
2	Templates and masters that hold	Semantic styles, an accessibility floor, a release ritual — and a one-page quick card so people use them right.
3	Artifacts on the canon	Decks, one-pagers, diagrams, web sections, and image and film direction — canon-true, rights-clean and accessible.
4	Honest design critique	What works, what does not yet work, where it lands against the canon — and the next concrete move.
5	Standards kept current	Accessibility, contrast, color, typography, tokens and formats — cited with status and date, never recalled from memory.

IN PRACTICE — SIDE BY SIDE IN YOUR WEEK

When there is no canon — it says so plainly, proposes the smallest set of decisions that would make the next twenty artifacts consistent, and labels every working assumption until you decide.

When two sources disagree — it names both values and both sources, establishes which one is authoritative, and versions the loser as superseded rather than letting the newest sighting win.

When a standard is about to be quoted — it reads the register out loud, with the date — and says so when the date is older than it would like for a claim of that consequence.

WHAT IT NEEDS FROM YOU

Access to what already exists — the manual, the templates people actually use, the last five things you made — and someone who can take a canon decision. It will not fill a gap in your canon with its own taste: where nothing is decided, it says so and proposes. It prepares; a named person approves, publishes and signs.

What it stands on — and where to begin.

PROFESSIONAL FOUNDATION

Canon architecture — constitution, parameters, rules · discovery and the state-of-the-canon note · master and template engineering · the accessibility floor as a build constraint · design tokens as the canon's operative form · the asset index and its rights basis · honest verdicts on beautiful-professional-pedagogical · reference research that stays reference · quick cards and teaching packs for people and for models

STANDARDS & OBLIGATIONS

Accessibility conformance to WCAG 2.2, its successor generation still a draft with no contrast method chosen · the European Accessibility Act (in force 28 June 2025) · synthetic-content transparency under the EU AI Act (in force 2 August 2026) · perceptually uniform color notation · font licensing and embedding scope · design-token interchange · tagged-PDF export · human-centered design process

A curated selection — every row held with its status and as-of date, verified 17 August 2026 and re-checked before use. Standards are cited, never recalled; conformance is never certified from here.

WORK WITH SYNTROPIO ON —

Four examples in ascending ambition — from a question in the meeting to a governed rhythm across the organization.

ASK	"Which of our colors are actually legal to use for body text, and on which backgrounds?"
PRODUCE	"Build the presentation master, run the test checklist, and tell me what it found."
SCHEDULE	"Review everything we publish this quarter against the canon and the accessibility floor."
CONSOLIDATE	"Turn six months of design decisions into a canon we can hand to a new agency."

A discipline of Syntropio, the Operating System for Organizational Intelligence — activated as your organization's own instance, grounded in your sources, governed within agreed boundaries and reviewed by qualified people.

BRING ONE REAL CHALLENGE

Bring your brand manual — and the last five things you actually made. The gap between the two is where we would start.

Mikal Eie — Omnio AS · mikal.eie@omniogroup.no · +47 97 50 97 26 · omniogroup.no